SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-	
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION	NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT	

254979

O3 Aug 99

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION DAMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

RC	M-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO). I	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPL	OYEE NO.	5 2000	IIT ASSIGN
Ri	chard Scott		Sgt	2178					-	800
100	RESS OF INCIDENT			DATE OF	INCIE	ENT . TIME	BEAT	OF INCIDEN	IT LOS	
						, 0057 hrs		35		17
	NAME		RANK	STAR NO	e .	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPL	OYEE NO.		IIT ASSIGN
- 1	Muth, Kevin		P.O.						0	80
- 1	Forberg Brian SEX/RACE B.D.B.		P.O.						0	08
i	SEX/RACE D.D.B.	DATE OF APPOINT	MENT			TIME OF INCIDEN	1 101 2	WORN	C 00	10
	1·M/2 65	02 May 94		A ON DUT		OFF DUT	Y 🗆 c	CIVILIAN		01
ACCOSED	² ·M/2 60	10 Jul 95		M ON	DUTY		Y □ €	WORN IVILIAN		01
1	P APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED	CHARGES				COURT BRANCH	DISPOSIT	ION & DATE	Á	
	1.									
	2									
	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY	STAT	E TELEPHONE	SEX/RAC	E D.O.B./		PHYS.
S				Chi	11		F/1		57	CODET 01
ANTS										1
				Chi	11_	none	F/1		59	The second name of the second
Ē	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY	STAT	TELEPHONE	SEX/RAC	6.0.B./A	ЗE	COND.
CEI I SIA										
,	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY	STAT	E TELEPHONE	SEX/RAC	D.O.8.//		PHYS.
1				Chi	I1	none	M/1		7	010
4							1			

A SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

Complainant l alleged that her son was taken into police custody in regards to a police shooting, at which time she asked where they were taking him and one of the officers told her to "shut the fuck up" and "move away."

Complainant 2 alleged that when the police were at her home one of the officers threatened

her with arrest, cursed her and told her to move away.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES* O1 Food Sales/Restaurant O2 Tavern/Liquor Store O3 Other Business Establishment O4 Police Building O5 Lockup Facility O6 Police Maintenance Facility O7 CPD Automotive Pound Facility O8 Other Police Property O8 Other Police Communications System O9 Police Communications System O1 Private Residence O9 Police Communications System O9 Police Communications System O9 Private Residence O9 O9 O10 Police Communications System O6 O9 O10 Police Communications System O9 O10 Police Police Police Police O9 O10 Police Communications System O9 O10 Police Communications System O9 O10 Police Police Police Police O9 O10 Police Police Police Police O9 O10 Police Communications System O9 O10 Police Police Police Police O9 O10 Police Police Police O10 Police

- I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES?

 1 No Visible injury Apparently Normal
 2 No Visible Injury Under Influence
 3 Injured, Not Hospitalized
 4 Injured, Not Hospitalized Under Influence
 5 Injured, Hospitalized Under Influence
 6 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
 8 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
 9 Occeased
 10 Deceased Under Influence
- * F CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS IN ADDRESS 80X, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE 80X.

-	Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).
	in sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.
	R/Sgt was assigned to investigate CR# 254979 on 14 Jul 99. R/Sgt immediately contacted
	complainant 1, via the telephone, (attachment 2). Complainant informed R/Sgt
	that the civilian dressed officers were not the officers she was making the allegations
	against. She stated she had little or no conversation with the civilian dressed officers. She stated she no longer wished to pursue her complaint and subsequently signed a letter
	of declination in R/Sgt's presence, (attachment 3).
	R/Sgt spoke with complainant 2, at her residence. Comlainant 2 informed
	by/sat that the civilian dressed officers "weren't the ones that said them things, the
	then stated she had spoken with complainant then stated she had spoken with complainant
F.	prior to R/Set's arrival, and no longer wished to pursue her allegations. Complainant
SUMMARY	then signed a letter of declination in R/Sgt's presence, (attachment 5).
5	R/Sgt asked complainant where her son, witness 1, could be locate
	Complainant that she did not have an address or phone number at which witness could be reached. R/Sgt. sent a registered letter to witness (article #
	R/Sgt received a receipt for said letter, (attachment 17 &17a), which indicated witness
	received the letter on 16 Jul 99. As of this date witness has made no attempt
	to contact R/Sat
	Officers Muth 17616 and Forberg 18761 submitted to-from reports, (attacments 9 & 130,
	atating that the allegations were false and that their conduct was nothing but professional.
	Based on the above investigation by R/Sgt in which both complainants stated that
	Officers Muth and Forberg were not guilty of any of the allegations, coupled with
	the officers denials of any wrong doing, and the inability to contact the witness R/Sgt finds the allegations against Officers Muth and Forberg to be UNFOUNDED. The allegations
	against six M/2 uniformed officers are NOT SUSTAINEDAS their veracity can't be determined.
-	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- PHYSICAL EVIDENCE TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS
TSH.	SUPPORTING ALLEGATION SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS: LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS: LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:
ATTACH- MENTS	14 2,4, 9, 13 none 17
-	Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary
	Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made
	for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member
	be suspended for day's (not to exceed 5 days).
	FINDINGS: as to allegation P.O. Muth told Complainant to "shut the fuck up and move
	move away." UNFOUNDED
	as to allegation P.O. Muth threatened complainant with arrest and
N N	cursed her. UNFOUNDED
Ĕ	to Wahut the fuck up
Ž	as to allegation P.O. Forberg told complainant to "shut the fuck up
¥ E	and move away UNFOUNDED as to allegation P.O. Forberg threatened complainant with arrest
Σ	and cursed her UNFOUNDED
Z F	
ا ا	as to allegation that six M/2 uniformed officers told complainant to
FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATION	"shut the fuck up and move away." NOT SUSTAINED
Ž	as to allegation that six M/2 officers threatened complainant with
_	arrest and cursed her NOT SUSTAINED
	Recommendation- NONE, CASE TO BE FILED
	TE INITIATED 14 Jul 99 DATE COMPLETED 03 Aug 99 ELAPSED TIME
REC	EIVED FOR INVESTIGATION) REPORT) EXPRESSED IN DAYS) 20
· mar	extinctor will initiate the Command Channel Review

Summary report Digest - Continuation page CR# 254979

Additional Accused Officers: Six M/2 uniformed officers-NFD

Sgt R. Scott #2178

REVIEWED BY:

DATE: AUG 3 1 1999